

REMARKS

Claims 12-21, 33-42 and 54-63 are pending after this amendment.

Applicants have amended claim 54 in order to more particularly define the invention. The amendments were not necessitated by the claim rejections. Applicants make no admission as to the patentability or unpatentability of the originally filed claims.

The amendments and remarks presented herein are in response to the Final Office Action dated December 7, 2006 and the voicemail message to Amir Raubvogel from Examiner Padmanabhan on March 20, 2007. In the voicemail message, the Examiner indicated that the above amendment would be entered.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 54-63 UNDER 35 USC 101

In the 3rd and 4th paragraphs of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 54-63 as directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants have amended claim 54 to recite a computer-readable storage medium.” Thus, Applicants submit that claim 54, and claims 55-63 which depend from claim 54, now recite patentable subject matter.

The above amendment is made to place the case in better condition for the appeal, and thus entry of the Amendment is requested.

Should the Examiner wish to discuss the above amendments and remarks, or if the Examiner believes that for any reason direct contact with Applicants’ representative would help to advance the prosecution of this case to finality, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,
BRETT ERROR AND JOHN PESTANA

Dated: March 29, 2007

By: /Jennifer R. Bush/
Attorneys for Applicants
Jennifer R. Bush
Reg. No. 50,784
Fenwick & West LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94306
Phone: (650) 335-7213
Fax: (650) 938-5200

32021/08054/DOCS/1685888.1